



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/644,967

08/21/2003

David A. Matthews

MS#304019.01 (5437)

7579

38779 7590 09/30/2008  
SENNIGER POWERS LLP (MSFT)  
100 NORTH BROADWAY  
17TH FLOOR  
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER

TRAN, TUYETLIEN T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2179

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/30/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/644,967	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MATTHEWS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> TUYETLIEN T. TRAN	<b>Art Unit</b> 2179	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) TUYETLIEN T. TRAN. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Barbara Wilkey (Reg 62986). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
           c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
       If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 14, 25 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jobs and Brooks.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant and the examiner discussed the amendment of claims 1 and 31 to clarify the invention; however, no agreement was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Weilun Lo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179
--	-----------------------------------------------------------